

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/725,067	DREJA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Rejected

 (1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) John S. Child, Jr. (Reg # 28,833) for John E Drach.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 February 2007
**Time:** ~ 5:10 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none.*

Claims discussed:  
*none.*


Prior art documents discussed:  
*none.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*examiner inquired with Mr. Glenn E. J. Murphy's office as to the status of the application. Mr. Child responded to inquiry on bhave of Dr. John Drach that no response was filed to the last Office Action.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 DANIEL S. METZMAIER  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 ART UNIT 1712

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)